To: Anderson, Andrew[anderson.andrew@epa.gov]; Walts, Alan[walts.alan@epa.gov]

Cc: Kamke, Sherry[Kamke.Sherry@epa.gov]; Morris, Julie[morris.julie@epa.gov]; Cunningham,

Michael[cunningham.michael@epa.gov]; Helm, Cora[helm.cora@epa.gov]

From: Victorine, Gary

Sent: Tue 5/6/2014 9:49:50 PM

Subject: OECA End-of Year Projections of Compliance and Enforcement

Hi Alan/Andy

Not sure of your desired format for projections of enforcement accomplishments by end of year. Here is a chart format with concise narratives.

Red indicates where we think the "Mid-Year Data Analysis" chart is in error

Gary Victorine, Chief RCRA Branch Land and Chemicals Division U. S. EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL 60604-3590 312-886-1479

RCRA-C Compliance and Enforcement Program

OECA Targets for FY14

	FY14 Targe	t Mid Yea	nr Projected End-o	of-Explanation
		4 J.	Year	
RCRA-C	175	44	168	Mid-year accomplishments are low due to unusua
Inspections				winter, plus October shutdown which also impact
				targeting. We are proposing a slightly lower EOY
				target due to loss of one primary inspector to a
				detail.
Judicial Referrals	1	0	1	Waste Management, Wisconsin, two sites; referr
				signed 4/24/14. Also, we expect Chemical
				Solvents referral in FY14, but this will be a joint
				referral with the air program, so we might not get
				credit for it.
Complaints	13	4	11	Original target was based on previous years'
Penalty Orders	13	3	11	accomplishments, but there ultimately were not

Penalty Orders	13	3	11	enough sites in the pipeline to support this CAFO target in FY14, partly due to furloughs and shutdowns in calendar year 2013, and our decision to refer two cases (total of three sites) to DOJ.
Consent Decrees	0	0	0	, , ,
Admin Orders	0	0	0	
SEPs	3	0	1	Even though we have been including language

Even though we have been including language about the availability of SEPs in every Notice of Intent to File a complaint, industry has seemed disinterested in SEPs, and would seemingly rather just sign a check. Industry needs more incentive.

RCRA-I ("UST") Compliance and Enforcement Program

OECA Targets for FY14

	FY14	Mid	Projected	Explanation
**************************************	Target	Year	End-of-Yea	
UST	144	19	144	Mid-year accomplishments low due to unusual
Inspections		USEF	PΑ	winter, as well as October shutdown. Tribal
•		una marin		inspections kept pace because DITCA grantees continued work during EPA shutdown
		40 fee		
		crede	entialed	
		Tribal		
			•	
		TOTAL		
		= 59		
Judicial	0	0	0	
Referrals				
Complaints	2	0	1	Meleen CAFO issued in March 2014 did not
-				include complaint (complaint had been issued at the very end of FY13). Expect IN-DOC CAFO to include complaint, for issuance in FY14
Penalty Order	rs10	4	10	As of 5/1/14, we have issued one CAFO (Meleen)
				plus six expedited settlements/field citations. Expect one more CAFO (IN-DOC), and also expecting very active EPA inspecting in June/July, should get between two and five more UST-ESAs
Consent	0	0	0	
Decrees				
Admin Order	s 0	0	0	

SEPs 2 0 1 Expect IN-DOC CAFO to include SEP for EMS at over one hundred DOC facilities.